

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh.Parkash Singh,
R/o 1831, Opposite Dera Kalsia,
Millar Ganj, Ludhiana.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director,
Local Bodies, Sector-35-A,
Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 748 of 2020

Present: None for the Appellant
Sh.Balwinder Pal Singh, Sr. Assistant (LG-1) for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through RTI application dated 08.06.2020 has sought information on 12 points regarding the joining date of superintendent in Zone-A MC Ludhiana alongwith his salary at the time of joining and present salary – detail of penalty imposed by State Information Commission – complaints against the superintendent pending – vehicle allotted to the superintendent – house rent being paid – family members details and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Director Local Bodies, Pb Chandigarh. The complainant was not provided with the information after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 06.10.2020.

The case first came up for hearing on 02.03.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. Both the parties were absent. The case was adjourned.

On the date of the last hearing on **08.06.2021**, both the parties were absent.

Having gone through the record, the Commission observed that there has been an enormous delay of one year in attending to the RTI application. The Commission has taken a serious view of this directed the PIO to **show cause why penalty be not imposed on him under Section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 for not supplying the information within the statutorily prescribed period of time and directed to file reply on an affidavit.**

Hearing dated 07.02.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC at Ludhiana/Mohali. The respondent present has submitted a letter dated 07.02.2022 mentioning therein that since the information is personal information, it cannot be provided in terms of instruction of Punjab Govt. dated 09.08.2021.

The complainant is absent on 3rd consecutive hearing nor is represented.

The respondent has submitted a reply of the PIO regarding the show cause notice issued on 08.06.2021 which has been taken on the file of the Commission. In the reply, the PIO has mentioned that due to shortage of staff in the branch as well as for instructions of the Government to call only 50% of staff due to Covid-19, the RTI application was not attended in

Complaint Case No. 748 of 2020

time. The PIO has further informed that the complainant has expired on 03.07.2021 and the complainant's son has given a letter stating therein that he does not want to pursue the case since they do not require information. The respondent has also sent a copy of the letter of Sh.Harpinder Singh son of Sh.Parkash Singh in this regard which has been taken on the file of the Commission.

Given the above, I see that no further interference of the Commission is required. The show-cause is dropped and the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated 07.02.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh.Jagmohan Singh,
334, G.T Road, Salem Tabri,
Ludhiana.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Sub Registrar (East),
Transport Nagar, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o SDM,
Ludhiana(East)

Respondent

Appeal case No.660 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Jagmohan Singh for the Appellant
Sh.Jiwan Garg, Sub-Registrar(East) for the Respondent

ORDER: This order should be read in continuation to the earlier order.

The case has been earlier heard on 27.03.2019, 22.08.2019, 28.11.2019, 27.01.2020, 24.06.2020, 17.08.2020, 29.09.2020, 10.12.2020, 01.03.2021 & 07.06.2021.

On the hearing on **24.06.2020**, the respondent present pleaded that the information has already been supplied to the appellant. The appellant was not satisfied. Having gone through the reply and hearing both the parties, the following was concluded:

- Point-1 - The respondent says that the sought information is available on the website of the department and the appellant can get information from the Sewa Kendra by providing specific vasika numbers. The appellant is directed to get the information from Sewa Kendra by providing particular vasika numbers.
- Point-2 - As per the respondent, no separate index for registration of the agricultural property is prepared and the information is available on the website. The PIO to provide the link and assist the appellant in getting the information from the website.
- Point-3 - The respondent says that no document is available. The PIO is directed to give this in writing on an affidavit that no such document is available. And If such a letter exists, what action has been taken, And in the persuasion of the letter received from the Govt of Punjab, if any list has been prepared, it be provided.

On the date of the hearing on **17.08.2020**, the appellant claimed that the PIO has not provided the information. The respondent was absent. The PIO was directed to comply with the earlier order of the Commission and provide the information to the appellant within 10 days otherwise the Commission will be constrained to take action against the PIO under section 20 of the RTI Act.

On the date of the hearing on **29.09.2020**, the appellant claimed that the PIO has not provided the information.

The respondent was absent nor had complied with the order of the Commission. The PIO was issued a **show-cause notice under Section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 and directed to file a reply on an affidavit**. The PIO was again directed to provide complete information to the appellant within 10 days of the receipt of the order.

On the date of the hearing 10.12.2020, both the parties were absent. The Commission received a reply of the PIO dated 03.12.2020 which was taken on the file of the Commission. The case was adjourned.

On the date of the hearing on **01.03.2021**, both the parties were absent.

Having gone through the reply of the PIO received in the Commission on 07.12.2020, it was observed that the PIO in his reply had mentioned that regarding point-2, no separate index for the agricultural property is prepared. Moreover, the information relates to 3rd party and as such, it cannot be provided under section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act and that the reply has already been given to the appellant vide letter dated 30.11.2018. Regarding point-3, the PIO had mentioned in his reply that no such list as sought by the appellant is available in their office. The PIO had also given this in writing on an affidavit as per the order of the Commission.

The PIO further mentioned in the reply that the appellant had earlier filed an appeal case No.3845 of 2018 for seeking the same information which was disposed of and closed on 27.06.2019 by Ms.Preety Chawla, State Information Commissioner.

The appellant was absent. Having gone through the reply, the Commission observed that regarding point-2 the PIO has taken two separate and confused pleas- 1) that no separate index for the agricultural property is prepared 2) that the information is 3rd party and is exempt u/s 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act. The PIO was directed to file a suitable reply whether the denial of information is because no document exists, is of the third party, or is exempt under section 8(1)(j)?

On the date of the last hearing on **07.06.2021**, the appellant claimed that the PIO has not supplied the information on point-3 nor had provided the affidavit as per the order of the Commission.

The respondent was absent. A copy of the affidavit received from the PIO in the Commission on 07.12.2020 was sent along with the order to the appellant.

Hearing dated 07.02.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. As per the respondent, the information has been provided.

As per the appellant, the information is incomplete since the PIO has not provided the copy of the letter dated 24.01.2018 vide which the instructions were issued by the Govt. which the respondent denied having existed in their record. However, the respondent further stated that this document might be available on the website of the Govt. and assured to provide the same by downloading it from the website.

The PIO is directed to provide a copy of the letter dated 24.01.2018 after downloading the same from the website.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated:07.02.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh.Rohit Sabharwal,
Kundan Bhawan, 126 Model Gram,
Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Principal Secretary,
Deptt of Local Govt, Pb
Sector 35, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Principal Secretary,
Deptt of Local Govt, Pb
Sector 35, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1103 of 2020

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Vikas Kumar, Sr.Assistant for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through the RTI application dated 09.12.2019 has sought information regarding action taken on the letter dated 03.10.2019 issued by Commissioner MC Ludhiana recommending the suspension of Sh.Rahul Kumar, Building Inspector - information showing the reasons for not suspending the said Rahul Kumar – the day-to-day movement of the aforesaid letter and other information concerning the office of Principal Secretary, Department of Local Bodies, Govt of Punjab Chandigarh. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 03.01.2020 stating that the matter is under consideration with the Govt. after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 23.01.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case first came up for hearing on 24.08.2020 through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. Due to a network problem, the VC could not take place. The respondent was present and pleaded that the matter is under consideration with the State Govt.

The case was adjourned.

On the date of the last hearing on **01.03.2021**, the representative appeared on behalf of the appellant informed that the appellant has received the information on point-2. However, regarding the information on points 1 & 3, the PIO vide letter dated 26.10.2020 had only informed that a show cause has been issued to Sh. Rahul Kumar on 23.09.2020.

The respondent was absent. Having gone through the RTI application and hearing the appellant, the PIO was directed to provide information in accordance with the RTI application within 15 days. Failure to comply would attract proceedings under section 20 of the RTI Act.

On the date of the last hearing on **07.06.2021**, the respondent informed that the information has already been provided on 26.10.2020.

As per the representative of the appellant, the PIO had not provided the information on points 1 & 3 but only informed that a charge sheet was issued to Sh. Rahul Kumar.

Appeal Case No. 1103 of 2020

The Commission observed that the appellant through his RTI application wanted whatever action has been taken on the letter dated 03.10.2019 issued by the Commissioner, MC Ludhiana along with documents generated and all correspondence made/notings on it.

The PIO was directed to provide the complete information and send a compliance report to the Commission.

Hearing dated 07.02.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAAC Ludhiana/Mohali. The respondent is present at Chandigarh and informed that the information has been provided to the appellant.

The appellant is absent and the authorized representative of the appellant vide email has informed that the appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 07.02.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh.Rohit Sabharwal,
Kundan Bhawan, 126 Model Gram,
Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Principal Secretary,
Deptt of Local Govt, Pb
Sector 35, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Principal Secretary,
Deptt of Local Govt, Pb
Sector 35, Chandigarh

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1104 of 2020

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Vikas Kumar, Sr Assistant for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through the RTI application dated 09.12.2019 has sought information regarding action taken on the letter dated 23.07.2019 issued by Commissioner MC Ludhiana recommending the suspension of Sh.Kuljeet Singh, Mangat, Building Inspector - information showing the reasons for not suspending the said Kuljeet Singh Mangat—the day-to-day movement of the aforesaid letter and other information concerning the office of Principal Secretary, Department of Local Bodies, Govt of Punjab Chandigarh. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 03.01.2020 stating that the matter is under consideration with the Govt. after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 23.01.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case first came up for hearing on 24.08.2020 through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. Due to a network problem, the VC could not take place. The respondent was present and pleaded that the matter is under consideration with the State Govt

The case was adjourned.

On the date of the last hearing on 01.03.2021, the representative appeared on behalf of the appellant informed that the appellant has received the information on point-2. However, regarding the information on points 1 & 3, the PIO vide letter dated 26.10.2020 has only informed that a show cause has been issued to Sh. Kuljeet Singh on 23.09.2020.

The respondent was absent. Having gone through the RTI application and hearing the appellant, the PIO was directed to provide information in accordance with the RTI application within 15 days. Failure to comply would attract proceedings under section 20 of the RTI Act.

On the date of the last hearing on **07.06.2021**, the respondent informed that the information has already been provided on 26.10.2020.

As per the representative of the appellant, the PIO had not provided the information on points 1 & 3 but only informed that a charge sheet was issued to Sh. Kuljeet Singh.

The Commission observed that the appellant through the RTI application wanted whatever action has been taken on the letter dated 23.07.2019 issued by the Commissioner, MC Ludhiana along with documents generated and all correspondence, notings, etc on it.

The PIO was directed to provide the complete information and send a compliance report to the Commission.

Hearing dated 07.02.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAAC Ludhiana/Mohali. The respondent is present at Chandigarh and informed that the information has been provided to the appellant.

The appellant is absent and the authorized representative of the appellant vide email has informed that the appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 07.02.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Vishnu Dutt Sharma,
H No-1459, Ward No-8,
Street No-6, Dasmesh Nagar,
Doraha, Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Secretary to Deptt. of Local Bodies,
Govt of Pb, Municipal Bhawan,
Sector-35, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Chief Vigilance Officer,
Deptt of Local Bodies,
Govt of Pb, Municipal Bhawan,
Sector-35, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1117 of 2020

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh. Balwinder Pal Singh, Sr. Assistant for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 28.09.2019 has sought information regarding a copy of the file of notification No.GSR-4/PA42/1976/571.AMD(ii)2007 dated 01.02.2007 - the action was taken on the notification and other information concerning the office of Secretary Department of Local Bodies, Govt of Punjab Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 10.01.2020 which took no decision on the appeal. After filing the first appeal, the PIO-Department of Local Govt. Branch-3 sent a reply to the appellant vide letter dated 31.01.2020 stating that the information does not relate to them.

The case first came up for hearing on 24.08.2020 through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. Due to network problems, the VC could not take place. The respondent was present and informed that since this notification was issued in the year 2007, the information is not traceable in their record.

The PIO was directed to conduct an enquiry into the matter and submit a complete enquiry report which establishes that the record is missing and the responsibility has been fixed for the person under whose custody the record was found missing.

On the date of the next hearing on **01.03.2021**, both the parties were absent. The case was adjourned.

On the date of the last hearing on **07.06.2021**, the respondent present from the office of CVO-Local Bodies, Pb, informed that the information has to be provided by the office of Superintendent O/o Secretary, Local Bodies (LG-1 Branch).

Sh.Harjit Singh, Superintendent-cum-PIO (LG-!) Local Govt. was present and pleaded that due to the record being very old, multiple postings of staff from one branch to the other, and frequent shifting of the office building, it has become impossible to ascertain under whose custody the record went missing and hence no one officer/official can be held responsible for the missing record. The Commission also received the reply from the PIO through email which has been taken on the file of the Commission.

The Commission was not inclined towards accepting this causal and lazy plea, thus, and marked this case to the Secretary, Govt of Punjab, Department of Local Bodies with the direction to take appropriate action (as per prescribed procedure), which is required to fix accountability in case a file goes missing from the records. A suitable reply be filed before the next hearing.

Hearing dated 07.02.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana/Mohali. The respondent present informed that as per order the Commission a Committee of three officers was constituted for conducting an enquiry into the matter of missing record and the Committee has submitted its report that the record stands missing due to multiple postings of staff from one branch to the other, and frequent shifting of the office building and hence at this stage, no officer/official can be held responsible directly.

However, it has been suggested by the Committee that in future, the superintendent of the concerned branch shall ensure that on the transfer of any official, the charge of the record is taken by its successor otherwise the concerned Superintendent shall be held responsible for the missing record. The respondent has submitted a copy of the report which has been taken on the file of the Commission.

The appellant is absent nor is represented.

Since the record is not traceable and the report of the Committee regarding missing records has been received, no further interference of the Commission is required.

The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated 07.02.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Nand Lal, S/o Sh. Paramjit Singh,
VPO Bahawal. Tehsil Balachaur,
Distt. SBS Nagar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o DEO (EE),
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o DPI (EE),
Pb, Mohali.

... Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1707 of 2020

Present: None for the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 04.01.2020 has sought information regarding grants received by Govt Primary School Phabra, Block Mangat-2 Ludhiana during 2016-17, 2017-18, 2018-19 & 2019-20 – cheques issued for payment – statement of account of Mid-day meal, Paswak SMC School Welfare - utilization certificates – a resolution passed for expenditure and other information concerning the office of DEO(EE) Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 11.02.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case last came up for hearing on 01.03.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The respondent present pleaded that the information (393 pages) has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 06.10.2020.

The appellant was absent and vide mail has informed that the information supplied by the PIO vide letter dated 06.10.2020 is incomplete. The appellant also claimed that even after pointing out the discrepancies, the PIO has not removed the discrepancies.

Having gone through the RTI application, the Commission observed that there has been an enormous delay of nine months in providing the information. The PIO was issued a **show-cause notice under Section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 and directed to file a reply on an affidavit.**

The PIO was again directed to remove the discrepancies as pointed out by the appellant and provide the complete information within 15 days of the receipt of this order.

On the date of the last hearing on **07.06.2021**, the respondent present pleaded that the complete information has been provided to the appellant and no further information is available.

The appellant was absent.

The PIO however, did not send a reply to the show-cause notice. The PIO was given one last opportunity to file a reply to the show-cause notice for the delay in providing the information on an affidavit, otherwise, it will be presumed that the PIO has nothing to say in the matter and the Commission will act against the PIO as per provisions of RTI Act.

Hearing dated 07.02.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The respondent is absent and vide email has sought exemption due to election duty.

The Commission has received a reply from the PIO in the form of an affidavit which has been taken on the file of the Commission. In the reply, the PIO has stated that since the entire staff was deputed in Covid-19 care centers, the record could not be collected and the information was delayed.

The appellant is absent on 3rd consecutive hearing nor is represented.

Having gone through the reply, I accept the plea of the PIO and drop the show cause.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated 07.02.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh.Jagjit Singh,
R/o # B-32/E-13/216-A, Aman Nagar,
Street NO-3, Backside Green Land School,
Near Jalandhar Bye Pass, Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o DPI (EE),
Phase-8, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o DPI (EE),
Phase-8, Mohali.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2656 of 2020

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Major Singh, Legal Assistant for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through the RTI application dated 30.12.2019 has sought information regarding NOC provided to Green Land Kindergarten School(elementary) Jalandhar bye-pass Ludhiana for taking CBSE board application and complete file of the same from the office of Director Public Instruction Elementary Education Punjab, Mohali. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 13.02.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case came up for hearing first on 24.02.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Mohali. The respondent present from the office of DEO(EE) Ludhiana informed that they only provide approval to schools from first to eighth class and not to pre-primary class schools. Further, the approval/NOC to private schools is being granted by DEO(SE).

Since there was no clarity that which authority provides a NOC to Kindergarten schools. Sh.Kuldeep Singh, Dy. DEO(EE) (present today) was directed to check which is the competent authority to provide NOC to Kindergarten Schools and transfer the RTI application to them u/s 6(3) of the RTI Act to provide information.

On the date of the last hearing on 28.07.2021, both the parties were absent.

The Commission received a copy of a letter dated 15.04.2021 through email from the PIO vide which the PIO-DEO(EE)Ludhiana had transferred the RTI application to the District Programme Officer, Department of Social Security and Women & Child Development, Ludhiana. The PIO also sent a copy of the reply dated 17.05.2021 of the District Programme Officer to PIO-cum-DEO(EE) Ludhiana stating that they have not received any instructions from their head office regarding play way schools.

The appellant was absent and vide email informed that the PIO has not provided the information.

The PIO- District Programme Officer, Department of Social Security and Women & Child Development, Ludhiana was impleaded in the case and directed to appear before the Commission on the next date of hearing and file an appropriate reply.

Hearing dated 07.02.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana/Mohali. The respondent present pleaded that there is no provision for the issue of NOC with them. However, they had transferred the RTI application to the District Programme Officer, Department of Social Security and Women & Child Development, Ludhiana and District Programme Officer sent their reply that they have no instructions/notification from their Head office to issue NOCs to Play Way schools. The respondent has also sent a copy of the reply to the Commission.

The appellant is absent on 3rd consecutive hearing nor is represented.

The reply of the PIO has been received. A copy of the same is being sent to the appellant alongwith the order.

No further interference of the Commission is required. The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated:07.02.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to : PIO- District Programme Officer,
Department of Social Security and
Women & Child Development,
Ludhiana



Sh. Jagtar Singh,
2028, Sector-66,
Mohali.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o DEO (EE),
Phase-8, MOhali.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o DPI (EE),
Phase-8, Mohali.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3430 of 2020

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Mrs.Kulwant Kaur, Steno for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 28.08.2020 has sought information regarding the copy of the complaint on which was enquired in Govt Primary School Manakpur Sharif by Krishan Puri, Smt.Ravinerpal Kaur and Smt.Neena Rani on 06.08.2019 along with enquiry report and statement of witnesses and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of DEO(EE) Mohali. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 07.10.2020 which took no decision on the appeal. After filing the first appeal, the PIO sent a reply to the appellant on 28.10.2020 that the enquiry is pending at the level of Committee members, the information cannot be provided.

The case first came up for hearing on 28.07.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Mohali. The respondent present stated that the enquiry report of the enquiry conducted on 06.08.2020 along with a copy of the statement has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 12.05.2021.

The appellant claimed that the enquiry had already been conducted on 06.08.2019 but the PIO vide letter dated 28.10.2020 sent a misleading reply that the enquiry is pending at the level of Committee members and hence the information cannot be provided.

Hearing both the parties, the PIO was directed to send the status of the case at the time of filing the RTI application. This reply should be filed on an affidavit to the Commission.

Hearing dated 07.02.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Mohali. The respondent present informed that in compliance with the order of the Commission, an affidavit has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 01.10.2021 with a copy to the Commission.

The appellant is absent nor is represented.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated:07.02.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Nishant Parbhakar (Advocate), (9878763131)
R/o #216, Bharat Nagar,
Ludhiana-141001

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Excise & Taxation
Department, Ludhiana-II,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority
O/o The Excise & Taxation
Department, Ludhiana-II,
Ludhiana.

...Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 2679 OF 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Shri Inderpal Singh, Excise Inspector, for the Respondents

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 02.12.2021. The case has already been heard by Sh.Hem Inder Singh, State Information Commissioner on 08.12.2020, 11.02.2021, 08.07.2021, 07.09.2021, 18.11.2021, 25.11.2021 & 02.12.2021.

On the previous date of hearing on 02.12.2021 both the parties were absent and the PIO was directed to file a proper affidavit in case no more information is available in their record except the information that has already been supplied to the appellant.

Hearing on 07.02.2022

The case has come up for hearing today before this bench through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. Shri Inderpal Singh, Excise Inspector is present on behalf of the respondents and stated that complete information has already been supplied to the appellant vide No.674, dated 31.12.2021 and they have also got an acknowledgement from the appellant as a token of having received the demanded information and copy of the same has also been forwarded to the Commission through an email for ready reference.

The representative of the PIO has also sent a copy of an affidavit to the Commission(original sent to the appellant) stating therein that no more information except the information already supplied to the appellant, is available in the record.

The appellant is absent nor is represented.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is disposed **of and closed**.

Chandigarh
07.02.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State information Commissioner



Sh. Brahmjot Singh, (9888247334)
R/o 4877/1, Namdar Khan Road,
Opposite Bindi Clinic, Patiala-147001

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Punjabi University,
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority
O/o Punjabi University,
Patiala.

...Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 4232 OF 2021

PRESENT: **None on behalf of the Appellant**
 None for the Respondent.

ORDER:

The appellant sought certain information vide RTI application dated 23.06.2021 from the PIO. He filed his first appeal in the O/o FAA (hereinafter called as First Appellate Authority) on 02.08.2021 and filed his second appeal in the Commission under Section 19 of the RTI Act 2005 (hereinafter called as Right to Information Act) on 06.09.2021.

On the previous date of hearing on 02.12.2021, Advocate Angel Sharma on behalf of the Respondent-PIO had stated that available information had already been supplied to the appellant on 26.08.2021 and **by hand** on 27.08.2021. The appellant was absent.

The PIO was further directed to file an affidavit on non-judicial stamp paper duly notarized in case no more information except the information has already been supplied to the appellant, is available in the record of the public authority and supply the original affidavit to the appellant by registered post and copy of the same be sent to the Commission for record.

Hearing on 07.02.2022

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Patiala. Both the parties are absent.

The Commission has received a copy of a letter on 07.02.2022 vide which the PIO has sent an affidavit to the appellant stating therein that the complete information has been provided and no more information except the information that has been provided vide letter dated 27.08.2021, is available in the record.

In view of the above, no more cause of action is left and hence, **the instant appeal case is disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
07.02.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State information Commissioner



Sh. Daya Bhan Yadav, Peon (9417349992)
Shaheed Bhagat Singh State University,
Moga Road, Ferozepur-152004

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Shaheed Bhagat Singh State University,
Moga Road, Ferozepur-152004

First Appellate Authority
O/o Vice- Chancellor,
Shaheed Bhagat Singh State University,
Moga Road, Ferozepur-152004

...Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 4139 OF 2021

PRESENT: Sh. Daya Bhan Yadav, the appellant
Dr. Amit Grover on behalf of the respondents

ORDER:

The RTI application is dated 27.04.2021 vide which the Appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. The first Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 07.06.2021 and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on 10.09.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

On the previous date of hearing the appellant had stated that incomplete information has been supplied and the PIO was directed to supply complete certified information to the appellant.

HEARING ON 07.02.2022

Dr. Amit Grover is present for the hearing through video conference facility at DAC Ferozepur and states that complete information has already been supplied to the appellant. The Appellant has stated that the provided information was incomplete. The RTI application of the appellant has been discussed point-wise and thereafter, both parties have reconciled and the appellant felt satisfied with the provided information.

Information stands provided. No further course of action is required.

The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated:07.02.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State information Commissioner